

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,467	ASARI, YUKIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Christophe Lair (Reg. No. 54,248).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1,4 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted applicant's representative (Mr. Lair) to get permission to amend claims 1,4 and 8 to provide proper antecedent basis, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation Mr. Lair approved such changes.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


KATHY MATECKI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)